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#### Abstract

A t CRYPTO '94, Tillich and Zemor proposed a family of hash functions, based on computing a suitable matrix product in groups of the form $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{n}\right)$. M arkus G rassl, Ivana Illich, Spyros M agliveras and Rainer Steinwandt constructed a collision betw een palindrome bit strings of length $2 n+2$ and Christophe Petit, Jean-Jacques Q uisquater found the second preimage for Tillich and Zemor's construction. In this paper we construct a hash function by using different matrices for the image of the bits 0 and 1 and found the collision and second preimage for the new construction.
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## INTRODUCTION

A cryptographic hash function can provide assurance of data integrity. A hash function is used to construct a short "finger print" of some data; if the data is altered, then the finger print will no longer be valid. Even if the data is stored in an insecure place, its integrity can be checked from time to time by recomputing the finger print and verifying that the finger print has not changed [3]

A hash family is a four-tuple ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{H}$ ) where the following conditions are satisfied:
$X$ is a set of possible messages
Y is a finite set of possible message digests
$K$, the key space, is a finite set of possible keys
For each $\mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{K}$, there is a hash function $H_{k} \in \mathrm{H}$. Each $H_{k}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$
An unkeyed hash function is a function $H: X \rightarrow Y$.An unkeyed hash function is a hash family in which there is only one possible key.

## Security of Hash Functions: [11]

The following three properties are essential for a secured hash function.

## Preimage Resistance

It should be computationally infeasible to find an input which hashes to a specified output.

## Second Preimage Resistance

It should be computationally infeasible to find a second input that hashes to the same output of a specified input

## Collision Resistance

It should be computationally infeasible to find two different inputs that hash to the same output.

Early suggestions (SHA family) did not really use any mathematical ideas apart from Merkle-Damgard [9] construction for producing collision resistant hash functions from collision resistant compression functions, the main idea was just to "create a mess" by using complex iterations. We have to admit that a"mess" might be good for hiding purposes, but only to some extent.

At CRYPTO '94, Tillich and Zemor [10] proposed a family of hash functions, based on computing a suitable matrix product in groups of the form $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{n}\right)$.Tillich-Zemor suggested a mathematical hash function, which hash bit by bit. That is"0"bit is hashed to a particular $2 \times 2$ matrix $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ and the " 1 " bit is hashed to another 2 x 2 matrix $A_{1}$. For example 11000100 is hashed to the matrix $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{0}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{0}{ }^{2}$. It is possible only when this pair of elements $\mathrm{A}_{0}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ should be from an Algebraic structure. Tillich and Zemor use matrices $\mathrm{A}_{0}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ from the group $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathrm{R})$ where $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}] /(\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}))$ [4]. Where $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ is the field of two elements, $\mathrm{F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]$ is the ring of polynomials over $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ and $(\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}))$ is the ideal of $\mathrm{F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]$ generated by an irreducible polynomial $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ of degree n where n is a prime.

For example
$q(x)=x^{167+x^{7}+x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x+1}$ [5].
Thus $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}] /\left(\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})\right.$ ) isomorphic to $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{n}$ the field with $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ elements. The matrices $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ are the following:
$\mathrm{A}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \alpha+1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$, where $\alpha$ is the root of the irreducible polynomial $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$.
For the bitstring $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \ldots . . \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}} \in V=\{0,1\}^{*}$, where $\{0,1\}^{*}$ is the collection of bit strings of arbitrary length. The Tillich -Zemor hash function $h$ is defined as follows:
$\mathrm{h}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{b}_{1} \ldots . . . \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=A_{b_{1}} \ldots \ldots A_{b_{m}}$.
In [7] Markus Grassl, Ivana Illich, Spyros Magliveras and Rainer Steinwandt constructed a collision between palindrome bit strings of length $2 \mathrm{n}+2$ and $\mathrm{in}[2]$ Christophe Petit, Jean-Jacques Quisquater found the second preimage for Tillich and Zemor hash function. In [6] we defined the following hash function:

Let $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ be the following matrices
$\mathrm{B}_{0}=\mathrm{A}_{0}{ }^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=\mathrm{A}_{1^{-1}}$ then $\mathrm{B}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha\end{array}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha+1 \\ 1 & \alpha\end{array}\right)$.
For the bitstring $\mathrm{v}=b_{1} \ldots . . b_{m} \in \mathrm{~V}$ we define the new hash function h as follows:

$$
\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1} \ldots . \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=B_{b_{1}} \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . B_{b_{m}} .
$$

## PALINDROME COLLISIONS

Let $\mathrm{v} \in V$ and $|\mathrm{v}|$ denote the length of the bitstring v.If $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \ldots . \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}} \in \mathrm{V}$ is of length m , we denote $\mathrm{v}^{r}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}} \ldots . . \mathrm{b}_{1}$ the reversal of v . In our attack we will make use of palindromes, that is, bitstrings $v \in V$ satisfying $v=v r$.

In order to find the palindrome collision we use the matrices $\mathrm{C}_{0}=\mathrm{B}_{0}$ and
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{B}_{0} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{0}{ }^{-1}$. That is
$\mathrm{C}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha\end{array}\right)$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha+1\end{array}\right)$
We define $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{b}_{1} \ldots . \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=C_{b_{1}} \ldots . . C_{b_{m}}$

## Proposition 1 [6]

Let $v, v^{\prime} \in V$. Then $h(v)=h\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $H(v)=H\left(v^{\prime}\right)$.
The above proposition says that collision in h and H are equivalent.
Now we work inside the group $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]\right)$ of unimodular matrices over the polynomial ring $\mathrm{F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]$ rather than $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ n. Let $\mathrm{D}_{0}, \mathrm{D}_{1} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]\right.$ ) with polynomial entries as follows:
$\mathrm{D}_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & x\end{array}\right), \mathrm{D}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 1 & x+1\end{array}\right)$ and
we define $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]\right)$ by
$\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{b}_{1} \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=D_{b_{1}} \ldots \ldots D_{b_{m}} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]\right)$.
That is $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ is defined as H ,except that $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\mathrm{v}) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]\right)$.
We apply $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ to a particular subset of elements of V , namely, the set of all palindromes in V .

## Lemma 1 [6]

Let $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$ be a palindrome and write $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\mathrm{v})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$.
Then $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{c}$ and d has degree, $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{d}=|\mathrm{v}|$ and we have max. (deg $\mathrm{a}, \operatorname{deg} \mathrm{b}) \leq|\mathrm{v}|$.
Define $\rho: \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]{ }^{2 \times 2}$ is defined by
$\rho(\mathrm{v})=\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(0 \mathrm{v} 0)+\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\mathrm{lv} 1)$.
We are interested in evaluating $\rho$ modulo a given irreducible polynomial, because $\rho$ $(\mathrm{v}) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \operatorname{modq}(\mathrm{x})$ if and only if
$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{OvO})=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{lv} 1)$ is indeed a collision in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}] /(\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}))\right)=\mathrm{G}$.

## Proposition 2[6]

If $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$ is a palindrome of length $|\mathrm{v}|$, then $\rho(\mathrm{v})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & d \\ d & d\end{array}\right)$ where $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]$ has degree $|\mathrm{v}|$. Moreover, d is the lower right entry of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\mathrm{v})$.

## Proposition 3[6]

If $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$ is a palindrome of even length then $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\mathrm{v})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a^{2} & b \\ b & d^{2}\end{array}\right)$ for some $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]$.

## Corollary 1 [6]

Let $\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{V}$ be a palindrome of even length. Then $\rho(\mathrm{v})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & d^{2} \\ d^{2} & d^{2}\end{array}\right)$ for some $\mathrm{d} \in \mathrm{F}_{2}[\mathrm{x}]$ with $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{d}=|\mathrm{v}| / 2$. More specifically $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ is the lower right entry of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\mathrm{v})$.

Corollary 2 [6]
Let $b_{n} \ldots . . b_{1} b_{1} \ldots \ldots b_{n} \in V$ be a palindrome of length $2 n$. Then for $0 \leq i \leq n$, the square root $p_{i}$ of the lower right entry of $H^{\prime}\left(b_{i}\right.$ $\qquad$ $\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \ldots \ldots \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) is given by

$$
p_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } i=0 \\
x+b_{i}+1 & \text { if } i=1 \\
(x+i) p_{i-1}+p_{i-2} & \text { if } 1<i \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

## COLLISION AND EUCLIDEAN ALGORITHM

## Construction of Palindrome

From corollaries 1 and 2 we see that the square roots of the lower right entries of $H^{\prime}\left(b_{1} b_{1}\right), \quad H^{\prime}\left(b_{2} b_{1} b_{1} b_{2}\right), H^{\prime}\left(b_{3} b_{2} b_{1} b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right)$, etc, satisfy Euclidean algorithm sequence(in reverse order) where each quocient is either x or $\mathrm{x}+1[2]$. Those sequences are often called maximal length sequences for the Euclidean algorithm or maximal length Euclidean sequences and they have long been a topic of interest in number theory.

Mesirov and Swweet [8] showed that, when $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{F}[\mathrm{x}]$ is irreducible there exist exactly two polynomials $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ such that $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ are the first terms of a maximal length Euclidean sequence. They also provide an algorithm to compute them, which will be given below.

## Proposition 4

(Mesirov and sweet). Given any irreducible polynomial $q$ of degree $n$ over $F_{2}$, there is a sequence of polynomials $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \ldots \ldots ., \mathrm{p}_{0}$ with $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{q}$, and $\mathrm{p}_{0}=1$ and additionally the degree of $p_{i}$ is equal to $i$ and $p_{i}=p_{i-2} \bmod p_{i-1}$.

Note that once we know a polynomial $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ as mentioned in proposition 4 which matches our given polynomial $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{q}$, the Euclidean algorithm will uniquely compute the sequence
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \ldots \ldots \mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{o}}=1$.
The quotients $\mathrm{x}+\beta_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{n})$ occurring in Euclid's algorithm allow us to derive the bits $b_{i}$ of the palindrome in corollary 2 .

We have $p_{1}=x+b_{1}+1$ and therefore $b_{1}=\beta_{1}+1$, while $b_{i}=\beta_{i}$ for $i>1$. That is the bit $\beta_{1}$ has to be inverted. Thus the desired collision will be
$H\left(O \beta_{\mathrm{n}} \ldots \ldots \beta_{1^{-1}} \beta_{1^{-1}} \ldots \ldots . \beta_{\mathrm{n}} 0\right)=H\left(1 \beta_{\mathrm{n}} \ldots \ldots . \beta_{1^{-1}} \beta_{1^{-1}} \ldots \ldots \ldots \beta_{\mathrm{n}} 1\right)$
Where, $\beta_{1^{-1}}$ indicates the inversion of $\beta_{1}$

## To Find the Maximal Length Euclidean Sequence

1. Construct a matrix $\mathrm{A} \in F_{2}^{(n+1) \times n}$ from the $\mathrm{n}+1$ polynomials $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{x}^{0} \bmod \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$,
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{i}-1+\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2 \mathrm{i}-1+\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2 \mathrm{i}} \bmod \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
Placing in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ row of A the coefficients
$a_{i, 0}, a_{i, 1}, \ldots . . a_{i, n-1}$ of the polynomial
$g_{i}=a_{i, 0}+a_{i, 1} x^{+} \ldots \ldots . a_{i, n-1} X^{n-1}$.
2. Solve the linear system $A u^{t}=(10 \ldots . .01)$ where $u=\left(u_{1} \ldots \ldots . u_{n}\right)$.
3. Compute $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ by multiplying $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} x^{-i}$ and taking only the non negative powers of $x$.

## COLLISIONS FOR SPECIFIED POLYNOMIALS

## Example 1.[6]

Let $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{x}+1$ be the irreducible polynomial. We have the following collisions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(011110)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & x+1
\end{array}\right)=H(111111) . \\
& H(000000)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & x
\end{array}\right)=H(100001)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example 2.[6]

Let $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{3+\mathrm{x}+1}$
The collision are
$H(01111110)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1+X\end{array}\right)=H(11111111)$ and $H(00100100)=H(10100101)$.
By Proposition 1. Collision in h and H are equivalent. For higher degree irreducible polynomials $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ we implement the attack in the computer algebra system Magma[1] on a standard PC.For each $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ there will be two solutions for $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})$ so we obtain two bit strings $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathrm{n}}$ with
$\mathrm{H}\left(0 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}\right)=\mathrm{h}\left(1 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}\right)$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2$.
That is, we obtain two collisions of bit strings of length $2 \mathrm{n}+2$. The value $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ can be obtain by reversing $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ followed by inverting the first and last bit.

In example $1, v_{1}=11, v_{2}=00$
In example $2, \mathrm{v}_{1}=111, \mathrm{v}_{2}=010$.

## NEW HASH FUNCTION

As the function H is not collision resistant. We define a new hash function $\mathrm{H}_{1}$, which is collision resistant, as follows:
$\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1} \ldots . \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\operatorname{tr} B_{b_{1}} \ldots \ldots \ldots . \operatorname{tr} B_{b_{m}}$, where $\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{B}_{0}=\mathrm{a}$ and $\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{B}_{1}=\mathrm{a}+1$. Now we prove that $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ is collision resistant.

In example 1, we have the collisions
$H(011110)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 1 & x+1\end{array}\right)=H(111111)$ and $H(000000)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & x\end{array}\right)=H(100001)$.
But $\mathrm{H}(011110)=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{H}_{1}(111111)=1$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}(000000)=1, \mathrm{H}_{1}(100001)=\mathrm{a}+1$.
In example 2, we have the collisions
$H(01111110)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1+X\end{array}\right)=H(11111111)$ and $H(00100100)=H(10100101)$.
But $\mathrm{H}_{1}(01111110) \neq \mathrm{H}_{1}(11111111)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}(00100100) \neq \mathrm{H}_{1}$ (10100101).
Hence $H_{1}$ is collision resistant. Similarly we can verify collision resistance using The Magma Algebra System [1].
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